

Reese's Candies Colors (cont.)



Our class results suggest that sample proportions vary depending on which sample you happen to get, but there is a *pattern* to this variation. To investigate this pattern more thoroughly, however, you need more samples. Because it is time-consuming to literally sample candies, you will use technology to *simulate* the sampling process.

- l) To perform these simulations, you need to assume you know the actual value of the parameter p . In lecture, we used a sample size of 75 candies with $p = 0.45$. Now consider drawing samples of 75 candies from a different population where 25% of the candies are orange ($p = 0.25$). What does CLT predict the shape, center, and spread will be, compare this to the sampling distribution found when simulating this in lecture (when $p = 0.45$, we saw normal shape, $se = 0.05$, and mean = 0.45)?

Same shape (\sim normal) and spread ($se \sim 0.05$), but center will move to $\mu_{\hat{p}} = 0.25$.

- l) Use our class GoogleDoc applet ([Sampling Distr for Proportions](#)) to draw 1000 samples of 75 candies from a population with $p = 0.25$. Record the mean and standard deviation of these values and comment on the shape. How does this distribution compare to the previous one? Does this match your predictions?

Mean $\mu_{\hat{p}}$ of \hat{p} values: ~ 0.25 Standard error of \hat{p} values: ~ 0.05

Shape: \sim normal

- m) If you go back to assuming the population proportion of orange candies is $p = 0.45$, what does CLT predict for the shape, the mean, and standard error of the distribution of sample proportions when the sample consists of $n = 25$ candies? Do these values come close to the applet's simulated results seen in lecture (Normal, $se = 0.1$, mean = 0.45)?

Shape: \sim normal

Center, theoretical mean of \hat{p} values: $\mu_{\hat{p}} = 0.45$

Spread, theoretical se of \hat{p} values: $\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.45 \times 0.55}{25}} \approx 0.09950$.

Come close? YES!

- n) Repeat the previous question for a sample size of $n = 75$. Compare your theoretical answers to the applet results seen in lecture (Normal, $se = 0.06$, $mean = 0.45$)?

Shape: \sim normal

Center, theoretical mean of \hat{p} values: $\mu_{\hat{p}} = 0.45$

Spread, theoretical standard deviation of \hat{p} values: $\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.45 \times 0.55}{75}} \approx 0.05745$

Come close? YES!

- o) Write a one-sentence interpretation of the se value that you calculated in part n.

[Hint: Think about what std dev implies in general and apply that interpretation to this context.]

On avg, samples of 75 candies will have their proportion of orange candies be about 5.745% away from 45%.

- p) Now suppose only 10% of Reese's Pieces are orange. Use the applet to draw 1000 samples of size $n = 25$ in this case. Reproduce a sketch of this sampling distribution.
- q) Explain why it makes sense that this sampling distribution is not symmetric. It is scrunched up near 0%. There are many more ways to be above 10% (3,4,5,6,7, etc. candies) than there are to be below 10% (0,1,2 candies).
- r) Explain why the observation that this sampling distribution does not follow a normal distribution is *not* a contradiction to the Central Limit Theorem.
[Hint: Consider the conditions needed for the normal approximation to be valid.]
Does not satisfy the technical conditions: $np = 25 \times 0.1 = 2.5 < 10$.
- s) Now change sample size to $n = 250$ and continue to suppose that 10% of the candies are orange. Generate 1000 random samples. Now, does the sampling distribution appear to follow a normal distribution? Explain why the Central Limit Theorem predicts this. Yes, because now the technical conditions are met:

SRS **and** $np = 250 \times 0.1 = 25 > 10$ **and** $n(1 - p) = 250 \times 0.9 = 225 > 10$.